

DOCTORAL PROGRAMME

IDENTITY WORK OF INDIVIDUALS WITH DISPARATE WORK IDENTITIES

By

YANGERJUNGLA PONGENER



भारतीय प्रबंध संस्थान बेंगलूर  
INDIAN INSTITUTE OF MANAGEMENT  
BANGALORE

2020

DOCTORAL PROGRAMME

**IDENTITY WORK OF INDIVIDUALS WITH DISPARATE WORK IDENTITIES**

By

**Yangerjungla Pongener**

A Dissertation submitted in Partial Fulfilment of the Requirements for the  
Doctoral Programme of the

**INDIAN INSTITUTE OF MANAGEMENT BANGALORE**

**2020**

**Prof. Haritha Saranga**  
Chairperson  
Doctoral Programme

**Prof. Mukta Kulkarni**  
Chairperson  
Dissertation Advisory Committee

Members of the Dissertation Advisory Committee

- |                              |             |
|------------------------------|-------------|
| 1. Prof. Mukta Kulkarni      | Chairperson |
| 2. Prof. Abhoy K Ojha        | Member      |
| 3. Prof. Shibashis Mukherjee | Member      |

## **Acknowledgements**

I wish to extend my sincere gratitude to the many people who have supported me during my PhD journey. I am and will remain ever grateful to them:

To my Dissertation Advisory Committee (DAC) members, Prof. Mukta Kulkarni for believing in me and taking me under her wings since my first day in IIMB - from helping me find courses and giving me tremendous research opportunities to helping me complete my PhD successfully. I acknowledge that most of what I know about research is through her and feel fortunate to have had her as my mentor. Further, I am grateful for having a friend in her with whom I could freely express my mind. This, I believe was an integral factor in making my PhD experience memorable.

Prof. Abhoy Ojha for building my confidence and encouraging me to explore unconventional research phenomena and contexts. His inputs and mentoring during my independent study have not only contributed to the inception of my research idea but his invaluable insights have helped refine my thesis.

Finally, Prof. Shibashis Mukherjee for being most approachable and always making time for my many short-notice-meetings. I have benefitted from his insights and attention to my writings.

I truly could not have asked for a better DAC and consider myself the luckiest PhD student on this front. I thank each of my DAC members for offering me with the most breathable space where I could be myself, and yet being there to catch me every time I stumbled. They have been most generous to me with their time, patience and guidance. Most of all, I am grateful to each of them for teaching me the importance of kindness - their kindness throughout my PhD journey was a blanket covering me during some cold and trying days.

To the friends I've made during this journey whose support stretches from guiding me in my research work to sitting through my mock presentations, what would I have done without you – Supriya, Ankur, Saparya, Swati, Anupama, Bibek, Sowmya, Sunil, Jothsna and Sachi. I will always be indebted to you all.

To my parents and siblings for cheering me on through every step of the way and for always being there. To Dinesh, my pillar of everything without whom I would never have started or completed this journey.

## Table of Contents

|  |            |
|--|------------|
| <b>1. INTRODUCTION .....</b>                                   | <b>9</b>   |
| <b>1.1 ARRIVING AT RESEARCH QUESTIONS .....</b>                | <b>10</b>  |
| <b>1.2 RESEARCH METHOD .....</b>                               | <b>13</b>  |
| <b>1.3 FINDINGS AND CONTRIBUTION .....</b>                     | <b>16</b>  |
| <b>2. LITERATURE REVIEW .....</b>                              | <b>20</b>  |
| <b>2.1 IDENTITY .....</b>                                      | <b>20</b>  |
| <b>2.2 MULTIPLE IDENTITIES .....</b>                           | <b>27</b>  |
| <b>2.3 MULTIPLE WORK IDENTITIES .....</b>                      | <b>31</b>  |
| <b>2.4 IDENTITY WORK .....</b>                                 | <b>35</b>  |
| <b>2.5 RESEARCH NEED AND QUESTIONS .....</b>                   | <b>47</b>  |
| <b>3. METHODOLOGY .....</b>                                    | <b>51</b>  |
| <b>3.1 RESEARCH APPROACH .....</b>                             | <b>51</b>  |
| <b>3.2 RESEARCH SETTING .....</b>                              | <b>52</b>  |
| <b>3.3 PILOT STUDY .....</b>                                   | <b>53</b>  |
| <b>3.4 DATA COLLECTION .....</b>                               | <b>56</b>  |
| <b>3.5 RESEARCH ANALYSIS .....</b>                             | <b>60</b>  |
| <b>3.6 RESEARCH TRUSTWORTHINESS .....</b>                      | <b>65</b>  |
| <b>3.7 REFLEXIVITY .....</b>                                   | <b>66</b>  |
| <b>4.1 IDENTITY CONFLICTS .....</b>                            | <b>70</b>  |
| 4.1.1 <i>Structure-agency tensions .....</i>                   | <i>71</i>  |
| 4.1.2 <i>Value-driven tensions .....</i>                       | <i>78</i>  |
| <b>4.2 IDENTITY SYNERGY .....</b>                              | <b>84</b>  |
| 4.2.1 <i>Structure-agency benefits .....</i>                   | <i>85</i>  |
| 4.2.2 <i>Value-driven benefits .....</i>                       | <i>94</i>  |
| <b>4.3 IDENTITY WORK .....</b>                                 | <b>102</b> |
| 4.3.1 <i>Structure-agency tensions and identity work .....</i> | <i>104</i> |
| 4.3.2 <i>Value-driven tensions and identity work .....</i>     | <i>115</i> |
| <b>SUMMARY OF FINDINGS .....</b>                               | <b>126</b> |
| <b>5. DISCUSSION .....</b>                                     | <b>130</b> |
| <b>5.1 THEORETICAL CONTRIBUTIONS .....</b>                     | <b>132</b> |
| 5.1.1 <i>Relationship between identities .....</i>             | <i>132</i> |
| 5.1.2 <i>Process of identity work .....</i>                    | <i>135</i> |
| <b>5.2 PRACTICAL IMPLICATIONS .....</b>                        | <b>138</b> |
| <b>CONCLUSION .....</b>  | <b>140</b> |
| <b>REFERENCES .....</b>  | <b>142</b> |
| <b>APPENDICES .....</b>  | <b>153</b> |
| <b>APPENDIX A: INTERVIEW GUIDE .....</b>                       | <b>153</b> |
| <b>APPENDIX B: RESEARCH PARTICIPANTS .....</b>                 | <b>154</b> |

## **Abstract**

This dissertation explores the experiences of individuals working in disparate professions. Specifically, it focuses on the identity work of individuals who are simultaneously working in a church as well as a secular workplace. In so doing, the present study examines how individuals working in disparate professions construct notions of ‘Who am I’ and understand themselves both as religious and secular workers. The present study is important because it focuses on plural forms of organizing at the individual level which is increasingly becoming a common phenomenon yet remains an underexplored research concern. Findings of the present study also make novel contributions to extant research in identity.

First, findings suggest that individuals with disparate professional identities experience both identity conflicts and identity synergies. By showing the coexistence of identity conflicts and synergies, the present study offers a dialectical perspective on the relationship between identities and thereby challenges the dominant view that the relationship between identities is typically either conflicting or synergizing. Second and consequently, the present study emphasizes the process of synthesizing the dialectic between identity conflicts and identity synergies as the identity work of individuals with disparate professional identities. That is, the study contends that identity conflicts precipitate identity work and identity synergies serve as resources in identity work. Understanding identity work as a synthesizing process thus counters the present linear perspective of the identity work process as one that begins with conflicts and ends with resolution of those conflicts.

Further, findings of the present study also highlight how individuals take charge of their work-selves in plural work environments (Ashforth, Moser and Bubenzer, 2020) and how they utilize values and ethics as discursive resources in making sense of their work-selves (e.g., Kornberger

and Brown, 2007; Van Buren, Syed and Mir, 2019). Taken together, this dissertation not only offers theoretical insights but also has practical implications as it sheds light on how an individual's notion of 'Who am I' influences workplace behaviors and practices.